

Judge Coughenour

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MADDAWALAABUU HUSSEIN DADI,  
a/k/a MADDA DADI

Defendant.

No. CR18-283 JCC

~~[PROPOSED]~~

**ORDER OF FORFEITURE**

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Entry of an Order of Forfeiture ("Motion") seeking to forfeit, to the United States, Defendant Maddawalaabuu Hussein Dadi's interest in the following property:

- A sum of money in the amount of no more than \$22,182.91, representing the proceeds Defendant obtained from Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(1) and (2).

The Court, having reviewed the record, FINDS:

The Defendant was charged in an Indictment with, among other counts, two counts of Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1344(1) and (2). (Dkt. No. 13 at ¶ 1)

The Indictment returned against the Defendant included an allegation for forfeiture of

1 | proceeds, including a judgment for a sum of money representing the proceeds Defendant  
2 | obtained as a result of the charged offenses;

3 |       In his Plea Agreement, the Defendant agreed to forfeit a sum of money of no more  
4 | than \$22,182.91, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 982(a)(2)(A), reflecting the proceeds he obtained  
5 | as a result of Bank Fraud, as well as the amount of Defendant's restitution obligation. Dkt.  
6 | No. 35, ¶ 16;

7 |       The evidence in the record, including information contained within the plea  
8 | agreement, has established the requisite nexus between the above-described sum of  
9 | money and the offense of conviction, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(1)(B); and

10 |       Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(c)(1) provides that "no ancillary proceeding is required to  
11 | the extent that the forfeiture consists of a money judgment."

12 |       NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

13 |       1) Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(2)(A) and his plea agreement, the  
14 | Defendant's interest in a sum of money in the amount of \$22,182.91 is fully and finally  
15 | forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;

16 |       2) No right, title, or interest in the above-described sum of money exists in  
17 | any party other than the United States;

18 |       3) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A)-(B), this Order will become  
19 | final as to the Defendant at the time he is sentenced, will be made part of the sentence,  
20 | and will be included in the judgment;

21 |       4) The United States will request that the Attorney General apply any amounts  
22 | that it collects toward satisfaction of this forfeited sum toward the restitution that is  
23 | ordered in this case. Likewise, any money that the Defendant pays toward restitution will  
24 | be credited toward satisfaction of the forfeited sum of money;

25 |       5) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy the judgment for the  
26 | above-described sum of money in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend  
27 | this Order, at any time, to substitute property having a value not to exceed the amount of  
28 | this sum of money; and

1           6)     This Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing  
2 and amending this Order, as necessary.

3           IT IS SO ORDERED.

4           DATED this 16 day of July, 2019.

5  
6  
7  
8             
9           THE HON. JOHN C. COUGHENOUR  
10          UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14

15 Presented By:

16  
17       s/Matthew H. Thomas

18 MATTHEW H. THOMAS  
19 Assistant United States Attorney  
20 United States Attorney's Office  
21 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 700  
22 Tacoma, WA 98402  
23 Telephone: (253) 428-3800  
24 Facsimile: (253) 428-3826  
25 E-Mail: Matthew.H.Thomas@usdoj.gov  
26  
27  
28